Correction of a Severely Rotated Mandibular Canine in an Adult Patient Using Invisalign: A Case Report
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Abstract:

Introduction: The Invisalign system has been used in the orthodontic treatment of numerous types of malocclusions. However some clinicians have reported difficulty correcting rotations particularly in canines and premolars using the Invisalign appliance system. This case report describes the orthodontic treatment of an adult patient with severe canine rotation (more than 45°) and crowding on upper and lower teeth.

Methods: The clinical examination included extra-oral and intra-oral photographs, panoramic radiographic, lateral cephalometric, stone casts, and upper and lower arch analysis obtained from ClinCheck 3.0. The treatment planning was resolved the crowding in both upper and lower arches and the severe rotation of 33 tooth (46°) using Invisalign system as well as the canine and molar relationship, dental verticalization, adequate over jet, overbite and dental midline using the same system. The duration of the treatment was approximately eight months.

Results: In Post-treatment extra oral photographs, no significant changes were observed at the end of the treatment. Intraoral photographs showed an important and notable improved aesthetics. The canine relationship improved slightly and molar Class I relationship was maintained. An increase in transverse diameter was observed at the level of first premolars, second premolars and first molars. The overbite was improved. The crowding and the severe canine rotation were corrected. No obvious root resorption was radiographically evident and slight cephalometric changes.
Conclusion: The treatment with the Invisalign system resolved the complaint of our patient so this system could be considered as an option that offered good results in the treatment of upper and lower crowding of arches involving severe canine rotation.

**Keywords:** Severe rotation, Canine, Invisalign system.

**Introduction**

In recent years, orthodontics treatments have increased their popularity between adults patients being aesthetics [1] and comfort techniques [2] who preferred them. In this context, the aligners have become one of the most growing orthodontic treatment modalities [3]. The Invisalign system (Align Technology) introduced in 1999 has been used as an aesthetic and comfort of the removable clear aligners in comparison with the traditional appliances [4].

Previous studies showed that the Invisalign system is *effective in the treatment of “mild to moderate tooth crowding and some cases of severe crowding involving extractions”* [5]. The patients that used this system have worn the aligners approximately 22 hours per day except for meals, drinks and dental hygiene [6]. Each aligner is ideally worn for 15 days, moving the teeth at a maximum rate of 0.33 mm and 2 degrees of rotation per aligner [7].

However, certain limitations have been outlined respect to the aligners and certain tooth movements as extrusion, rotation, bodily movement, and torque [8]. In this context, many clinicians have reported difficulties of correcting rotations particularly in canines and premolars [9].

Severe canine rotation more than 15°(clinically discernable amount of malarotation) is an orthodontic movement reported to be difficult to achieve and control with the Invisalign system [10]. When rotations are greater than 15°, the accuracy of the canine is significantly reduced [11].

This case report shows the use of the Invisalign system in the treatment of an adult patient with severe canine rotation (more than 45°) and crowding on upper and lower tooth.

**Case report**

A female patient aged 33 years went to the orthodontic clinic at Cuenca University, Ecuador; the patient’s main complaint was “*My upper and lower teeth are crooked*”. An informed consent was obtained from the patient who underwent examination and it was recorded. The clinical examination revealed that the patient presented severe rotation of lower canine (more than 45°) and crowding on upper and lower arches. The clinical examination included extra-oral and intra-oral photographs, panoramic radiographic, lateral cephalometric, stone casts, and upper and lower arch analysis obtained from ClinCheck 3.0.

The extra oral examination revealed mesocephalic head, proportional facial thirds, straight anterior profile (Fig1).
Intraoral clinical examination revealed a bilateral *Class Imolar relationship*, canine distoclusion of 1/4 unit bilateral, horizontal overjet of 3.5mm and vertical overbite of 3.5mm; crowding in both upper and lower arches. Deviated dental middle line and severe rotation of lower canine (33 rotated 46°) (Fig 2).

The analysis of dental casts showed a dental discrepancy of -3mm for the lower arch and -2mm for the upper arch (Fig 3).
The transversal analysis was measured starting at first premolars, second premolars and first upper molars (vestibular cusps) and lower molars (central fossae) showed normal values (Table 1).

**Table 1. Upper and lower initial transverse measurements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Measures (mm)¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14-24</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-25</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-36</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-35</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-36</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Millimeters

The panoramic radiography shows 28 teeth. Adequate crown-root relationship and bone support. Teeth divergence: 11-21-13-23(Fig 4).
Cephalometric analysis (Fig 5) revealed a skeletal class II due to mandibular retrusion (Table 2), growth in the vertical direction. Also the analysis showed mesofacial biotype.

**Table 2. Initial cephalometric measure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Norm</th>
<th>Initial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>82°±2°</td>
<td>83°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB</td>
<td>80°±2°</td>
<td>77°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB</td>
<td>2°</td>
<td>5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of convexity</td>
<td>2 ± 2mm</td>
<td>5.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary depth</td>
<td>90°±3°</td>
<td>96°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial depth</td>
<td>87°±3°</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1. UPP-PP</td>
<td>110°±5°</td>
<td>112°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1. UPP-Pog</td>
<td>3.5 ± 2.3 mm</td>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPA</td>
<td>90°±5°</td>
<td>98°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1. Low-A Pog</td>
<td>0.5 mm</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Treatment Planning

In that way it was solved the crowding in both upper and lower arches and the severe rotation of 33 tooth (46°) using Invisalign system as well as the canine and molar relationship, dental verticalization, adequate over jet, overbite and dental midline using Invisalign system.

Treatment Progress

The treatment began with some dental impressions taking of the upper and lower arches using polyvinyl siloxane. The impressions were sent to Align Technology to fabricate the aligners. Align Technology designed a three-dimensional image from the sent impressions and performed a "virtual treatment" using the ClinCheck 3.0. The instructions for the system were: derotations and verticalizations of upper and lower teeth, severe canine rotation an optimized attachment were requested, to solve the crowding anterior proinclination without interproximal reduction, also it was required to keep the molar relationship.

ClinCheck and aligner

Invisalign treatment was planned to correct the dental crowding and the severe rotation of 33 tooth (46°). The final ClinCheck 3.0 provided 14 aligners for the upper and lower arches.

Each aligner was worn for 2 weeks (15 days). No inter-proximal reduction was indicated for the correction of the crowding. To improve the results, Invisalign introduced optimized attachments to achieve a greater predictable rotation movement for premolars and canines (Fig 6).

![Figure 6. Attachments on upper and lower teeth. Severe canine lower rotation (33)](image)

Several attachments were bonded to the upper (13-15-23-25) and lower (33-43-44-45-34-35) teeth in order to improve the retention and correct position within the dental arches. The duration of the treatment was approximately eight months.
**Treatment results**

In Post-treatment extraoral photographs, no significant changes were observed at the end of the treatment (Fig 7). Intraoral photographs showed an important and notable aesthetics improvement (Fig 8). The canine relationship improved slightly and molar Class I relationship was maintained (Fig 9). An increase in transverse diameter was observed at the first premolars level, second premolars and first molars (Table 3). The overbite improved (Table 4). The crowding and the severe canine rotation were corrected (Fig 10). No obvious root resorption was radiographically evident (Fig 11) and slight cephalometric changes (Fig 12) (Table 5).

**Figure 7.** Extraoral photographs at the end of treatment
Figure 8. Intraoral Photographs at the end of treatment

Figure 9. Final dental cast

Table 3. Initial and final transversal measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teeth</th>
<th>Initial measures (mm)</th>
<th>Final measures (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14-24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-34</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-36</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Initial and final Overjet-Overbite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initial (mm)</th>
<th>Final (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overjet</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overbite</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Superimposition of dental movement (Initial blue-final white) shows the degrees of rotational correction.

Figure 11. No obvious root resorption was radiographically evident at the end of treatment.
After the treatment was completed and the upper and lower fixed contention was placed to the patient, the final outcomes were registered. It is important to note that the patient strictly used all the aligners and attended the appointments scheduled by the clinician.

**Discussion**

In the following case report the severe canine rotation of 33 tooth (46 degrees) and upper and lower crowding arches was satisfactorily resolved using the Invisalign system.

Despite inter proximal enamel reduction was not carried out the desrotation was achieved with optimized attachments (Invisalign system).

Previous studies have reported that significant rotations of teeth particularly in the mandibular canines have not been achieved satisfactorily using the Invisalign system. [12] In this regard Gianluigi and Tommaso who carried out a treatment with Invisalign found that the rotation of mandibular canines only was
achieved one third of the expected and the accuracy of canine rotation (more than 20 degrees) [10] was significantly lower than the rotation of the other teeth [9,13].

However, the use of Invisalign system allowed that our patient resolved the desrotation of all her teeth including a high rotation of the mandibular canine. In this context, Align Technology Inc. recommends auxiliaries to help rotational movement and avoid “uncorrected rotations” resulting in the need for refinement impressions or conversion to fixed appliances [14].

The upper and lower crowding of arches was resolved effectively. One of the possible explanations is positional dental changes. This fact support the findings found in other investigations where the lower anterior crowding was resolved through of protrusion of the anterior teeth (enlargement of the anterior arch length)[15] increasing the mandibular intercanine distance (lower arch) [16]. In relation to the stability of the treatment regarding to dental alignment and rotations, a study carried out by Kuncio et al. indicated that the patients treated with Invisalign showed more deterioration in the alignment of the dentition than the patients treated with traditional fixed appliances. This is clinically important because tooth alignment is probably the main reason why people prefer orthodontic treatment [17].

Conclusions

The mentioned case report about the derotation of rounded teeth was completed and the attachments optimized for the derotation in order to get good results.

The treatment with the Invisalign system resolved the complaint of our patients so this system could be considered as an option that offered good outcomes in the treatment of upper and lower crowding of arches involving severe canine rotation.
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